

Prescrire's contribution to the WHO consultation on Proposed INN: List 97 (October 2007)

Prescrire is an independent drug bulletin for healthcare professionals. It is totally funded by its subscribers and is published by *Association Mieux Prescrire*, a not-for-profit organisation dedicated to improving healthcare and serving patients' interests.

Together with other members of the Medicines in Europe Forum and the International Society of Drug Bulletins (ISDB), *Association Mieux Prescrire* (AMP) has long been promoting the systematic use, by healthcare professionals and patients, of International Nonproprietary Names (INNs), which are clearer and therefore safer (1-4).

Making INN safer. The basic principles underlying the selection of individual INNs are the same that apply to the prevention of medication errors, namely standardization, differentiation, redundancy, and built-in logical controls. INNs make pharmaceutical substances easier to identify and are less frequently confused than trade names (5).

However, even with the INN system there is a residual risk of confusion, partly owing to the sheer number of INNs now in circulation. A report from the Council of Europe, which recommends the use of INN, calls for active participation in public consultations on proposed INNs, within a four-month period of the date of publication, in order to review proposed INNs from the perspective of in-use safety (6). The *Prescrire* editorial team has decided to participate in this consultation, and has thus examined the List 97 of Proposed INN published on 25 June 2007 (7).

Critical assessment of proposed INNs. We based our analysis of the List 97 of Proposed INNs on the 2006 list of common stems in the Summary List 12 CD-ROM, and on a database of drugs marketed in France which provides both trade names and corresponding INNs (8,9). We used a two-step Delphi method. First, *Prescrire* editors compiled a list of potentially litigious proposed INNs, along with the relevant reasons. For each of the 29 proposed INNs selected for further scrutiny in this first step, the editors assessed the risk of confusion and/or misunderstanding, along with the potential clinical consequences of such errors. Finally, they decided whether a simple comment or a formal objection was more appropriate for each litigious INN, and listed their arguments.

The proposed INNs on List 97 which, in our opinion, need to be reconsidered, are given below.

Formal objections

The *Prescrire* editorial team formally objects to the use of the proposed INNs tarenflurbil, terameprocol and pexacerfont.

Tarenflurbil: not acceptable. Tarenflurbil has the same molecular formula $(C_{15}H_{13}FO_2)$ as fluprofen, flurbiprofen and esflurbiprofen. The proposed INN does not correspond to the formula or clearly reflect the kinship between these four drug substances. In addition, there is a risk of confusion with another pharmacological class.

And this proposed INN makes it more difficult to identify the risks of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) during pregnancy, or to detect interactions with other NSAIDs that are widely used over the counter (OTC), particularly ibuprofen.

The *Prescrire* editorial team sees no basis, other than commercial, for changing the name r-flurbiprofen, which is unambiguous and should be re-adopted.

La revue Prescrire 83, boulevard Voltaire 75558 PARIS CEDEX 11 FRANCE

Tél.:01 49 23 72 80 Fax:01 48 07 87 32 revue@prescrire.org

Site internet Web site www.prescrire.org

Service aux Abonnés Tél.: 01 49 23 72 86 Fax: 01 49 23 76 48 abonnements@prescrire.org

International Subscription Department Tel.: 33 I 49 23 72 65 Fax: 33 I 48 07 87 32 international @prescrire.org

About Prescrire

Prescrire has been published since 1981, its English language edition since 1992, by the non-profit organisati Association Mieux Prescring (AMP). Prescrire is made by health professionals for health professionals' continuous education towards better care Our publications are exclusively funded by suscribers, mostly physicians and pharmacists Prescrire systematically assess the comparative risks and benefits of all new medicines and indications approved in France Prescrire Editors have no ties to drug companies.

Association loi de 1901 nº 86/4331 - JO 21/01/1987 (Statuts sur demande). **Terameprocol: danger.**Terameprocol, an anticancer drug, carries a risk of confusion with ß-adrenoreceptor antagonists, as the segment "-ocol" (which is not a suffix) might be confused with the suffix -olol. We consider that the risk of confusion in this case is critical, both in terms of frequency and potential gravity. The high risk related to the toxicity of this anticancer drug therefore warrants a formal objection.

Where is the common stem in pexacerfont? Pexacerfont is a new INN, but it is difficult to identify the new common stem. This lack of a clearly identifiable stem makes it difficult to understand the proposed INN. In addition, this proposed INN would be unacceptable if it disguised a pharmaceutical substance belonging to a known group. The *Prescrire* editorial team recommends that the WHO INN Programme should reserve new common stems for pharmaceutical substances that do not belong to an existing group.

Comments

The following comments concern proposed INNs that might lead to medication errors, either because they are difficult to understand or because they resemble other INNs, common stems, trade names, or even commonly used words. The *Prescrire* editorial team also regrets the blatantly commercial nature of some of the proposed INNs.

Barriers to good understanding of proposed INNs: foreseeable difficulties. The *Prescrire* editorial team identified several proposed INNs that are difficult to understand, because there is no identifiable common stem (especially in pomalidomide), or the common stem is not enough visible (e.g. regrelor), or the INN is too complex to memorize easily (especially contusugene ladenovec, sitimagene ceradenovec and idrabiotaparinux sodium; we propose to simplify the latter as idraparine).

Pomalidomide does not comprise a clearly identifiable common stem. The "-omide" segment evokes a variety of pharmacological groups, such as anticancer agents, antirheumatics, antihistamines and antiepileptics, and is therefore a source of confusion and potential errors. The *Prescrire* editorial team recommends that the WHO INN Programme re-examines all INN comprising this segment and proposes strategies to distinguish among these drugs, in order to improve safety.

The infix -grel- may be present in the INN regrelor, but it is masked by the "-or" segment meaning high value (gold) in French language. The *Prescrire* editorial team prefers relogrel.

Risk of confusion with other INNs or stems. Some of the proposed INNs (e.g. peretinoin, tiliquinatine and laropiprant) do not comprise a common stem or a newly selected pre-stem (8,10), while others carry a risk of confusion with other INNs or with other common stems.

Peretinoin has been read as **pre**retinoine, an INN that does not exist and that should never be created. The *Prescrire* editorial team sees a risk of confusion with other INNs such as tretinoin, and also with the French medical term "*péritoine*". The labelling of medicines would have to clearly distinguish INNs comprising "-retinoin", which contains the common stem -retin.

The proposed INN tiliquinatine (an anticancer drug) carries a risk of confusion with quinidine and quinine. Although these alkaloïd names are not INNs, the *Prescrire* editorial team is concerned about a risk of confusion with names of drugs comprising the segment "quini".

Regarding laropiprant, the *Prescrire* editorial team sees a risk of confusion with the suffixes -triptan and -pitant, and with aprepitant. If -piprant is to become a common stem, attention will have to be drawn to the risk of confusion, by clearly differentiating the labels.

It is crucial that INN suffixes remain clearly identifiable, because confusion between different pharmacological groups with different properties has far more serious potential clinical implications than confusion between me-toos with the same pharmacological properties.

Risk of confusion with French trade names. The proposed INN arterolane carries a risk of confusion with French trade names for cardiovascular drugs ending in -lane, such as Fonzylane° and Captolane°. This may be a very small risk, but attention should nonetheless be drawn in regions where arterolane is used to avoid possible confusion with trade names ending in -lane.

Proposed INN with a commercial flavour. Most INNs are proposed by the relevant manufacturers and, as such, are sometimes considered to be part of an overall commercial strategy. Thus, the *Prescrire* editorial team sees a blatant commercial agenda in some proposed INNs, such as tarenflurbil (see formal objections above), and also choline fenofibrate and eldecalcitol.

If choline fenofibrate is not a new pharmaceutical substance with distinct properties, then it is unnecessary to overload caregivers' memories. Fenofibrate is perfectly adequate.

Eldecalcitol contains the segment "elde-", evoking the English word "elderly" and surreptitiously suggesting that this vitamin D analogue is designed for use by the elderly.

Overall, the *Prescrire* editorial team considers that the WHO INN Programme is making tangible efforts but that there is still room for improvement in the choice of INNs that are safe and easy to understand.

©La revue Prescrire No conflicts of interest

References

1- Prescrire Editorial Staff "Think INN, prescribe INN, dispense INN" Prescrire Int 2000; 9 (50): 184-190.

2- Prescrire Editorial Staff "Think in terms of INN: one key to optomising use of drugs" *Prescrire Int 2005*; 14 (78): 122.

3- Prescrire Rédaction "Patients-soignants: priorité à la DCI"

http://www.prescrire.org/cahiers/dossierDciAccueil.php

4- International Society of Drug Bulletins (ISDB) "Special issue on INN" *ISDB Newsletter* November 2006; **20** (3), 27 pages.

5- Prescrire Rédaction "latrogénèse - Effets indésirables médicamenteux: à la recherche de l'évitable" *Rev Prescrire* 2004; **24** (248): 225-227.

6- Council of Europe - Expert Group on Safe Medication Practices "Creation of a better medication safety culture in Europe: Building up safe medication practices" Preliminary version posted online on 19 March 2007: 257 pages.

7- WHO "International Nonproprietary Names – proposed INN: List 97" WHO Drug Information 2007; **21** (2): 133-176.

8- WHO "The use of stems in the selection of International Nonproprietary Names (INN) for pharmaceutical substances" WHO/PHARM S/NOM 15 2006 + Addendum 1; 172+4 pages.

9- WHO "International Nonproprietary Names (INN) for Pharmaceutical Substances CD-ROM - List 1-96 of Proposed INN and List 1-57 of Recommended INN. Cumulative List 12" 2007.

10- WHO "Pre-stems: Suffixes used in the selection of INN May 2007" 20 June 2007; 6 pages.