

# Open Public Consultation on the revision of the general pharmaceutical legislation

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

## Introduction

---

On 25 November 2020, the Commission published a Communication on a Pharmaceutical Strategy for Europe.

The Pharmaceutical Strategy identifies flagship initiatives and other actions to ensure the delivery of tangible results. As part of the implementation of the strategy, the Commission is evaluating the general pharmaceutical legislation<sup>1</sup> and assessing the impacts of possible changes in the legislation as described in the relevant [inception impact assessment](#).

This public consultation aims to collect views of stakeholders and the general public in order to support the evaluation of the existing general pharmaceutical legislation and the impact assessment of its revision. It builds further on the public consultation<sup>2</sup> conducted for the preparation of the pharmaceutical strategy for Europe. The replies to that consultation will be taken into account for the revision of the general pharmaceutical legislation. The present questionnaire should be seen as a continuation of that process.

In parallel, the legislation for medicines for rare diseases and children is being [revised](#) as well. Separate consultation activities have been carried out for that [revision](#).

This questionnaire is available in all EU languages and you can reply in any EU language. You can pause any time and continue later. You can download your contribution once you have submitted your answers.

A summary on the outcome of the public consultation will be published by the Commission services on the [‘Have your say’ portal](#).

We thank you for your participation.

*[1] Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 November 2001 on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use (OJ L 311, 28.11.2001, p. 67)*

*Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 laying down Community procedures for the authorisation and supervision of medicinal products for human and veterinary use and establishing a European Medicines Agency (OJ L 136, 30.4.2004, p. 1)*

*[2] A [report](#) analysing the results of the pharmaceutical strategy consultation was published in November 2020.*

## About you

---

\* Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- French
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Irish
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish

\* I am giving my contribution as

- Academic/research institution
- Business association
- Company/business organisation
- Consumer organisation
- EU citizen
- Environmental organisation
- Non-EU citizen

- Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- Public authority
- Trade union
- Other

\* Which stakeholder group do you represent?

- Individual member of the public
- Patient or consumer organisation
- Healthcare professional
- Healthcare provider organisation (incl. hospitals, pharmacies)
- Healthcare payer
- Centralised health goods procurement body
- Health technology assessment body
- Academic researcher
- Research funder
- Learned society
- European research infrastructure
- Other scientific organisation
- Environmental organisation
- Pharmaceuticals industry
- Chemicals industry
- Pharmaceuticals traders/wholesalers
- Medical devices industry
- Public authority (e.g. national ministries of health, medicines agencies, pricing and reimbursement authorities)
- EU regulatory partner / EU institution
- Non-EU regulator / non-EU body
- Other (Please specify)

If other, please specify:

Prescrire is an independent drug bulletin

\* First name

Rita

\* Surname

Kessler

\* Email (this won't be published)

rkessler@prescire.org

\* Organisation name

*255 character(s) maximum*

Prescire

\* Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

*255 character(s) maximum*

Check if your organisation is on the [transparency register](#). It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

982539711698-79

\* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

- |                                      |  |                                     |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Afghanistan    | <input type="radio"/> Djibouti           | <input type="radio"/> Libya         | <input type="radio"/> Saint Martin                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Åland Islands  | <input type="radio"/> Dominica           | <input type="radio"/> Liechtenstein | <input type="radio"/> Saint Pierre and Miquelon        |
| <input type="radio"/> Albania        | <input type="radio"/> Dominican Republic | <input type="radio"/> Lithuania     | <input type="radio"/> Saint Vincent and the Grenadines |
| <input type="radio"/> Algeria        | <input type="radio"/> Ecuador            | <input type="radio"/> Luxembourg    | <input type="radio"/> Samoa                            |
| <input type="radio"/> American Samoa | <input type="radio"/> Egypt              | <input type="radio"/> Macau         | <input type="radio"/> San Marino                       |
| <input type="radio"/> Andorra        | <input type="radio"/> El Salvador        | <input type="radio"/> Madagascar    | <input type="radio"/> São Tomé and Príncipe            |
| <input type="radio"/> Angola         | <input type="radio"/> Equatorial Guinea  | <input type="radio"/> Malawi        | <input type="radio"/> Saudi Arabia                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Anguilla       | <input type="radio"/> Eritrea            | <input type="radio"/> Malaysia      | <input type="radio"/> Senegal                          |

- Antarctica
- Antigua and Barbuda
- Argentina
- Armenia
- Aruba
- Australia
- Austria
- Azerbaijan
- Bahamas
- Bahrain
- Bangladesh
- Barbados
- Belarus
- Belgium
- Belize
- Benin
- Bermuda
- Bhutan
- Bolivia
- Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Botswana
- Bouvet Island
- Brazil
- British Indian Ocean Territory
- Estonia
- Eswatini
- Ethiopia
- Falkland Islands
- Faroe Islands
- Fiji
- Finland
- France
- French Guiana
- French Polynesia
- French Southern and Antarctic Lands
- Gabon
- Georgia
- Germany
- Ghana
- Gibraltar
- Greece
- Greenland
- Grenada
- Guadeloupe
- Guam
- Guatemala
- Guernsey
- Guinea
- Guinea-Bissau
- Maldives
- Mali
- Malta
- Marshall Islands
- Martinique
- Mauritania
- Mauritius
- Mayotte
- Mexico
- Micronesia
- Moldova
- Monaco
- Mongolia
- Montenegro
- Montserrat
- Morocco
- Mozambique
- Myanmar/Burma
- Namibia
- Nauru
- Nepal
- Netherlands
- New Caledonia
- New Zealand
- Nicaragua
- Serbia
- Seychelles
- Sierra Leone
- Singapore
- Sint Maarten
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Solomon Islands
- Somalia
- South Africa
- South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
- South Korea
- South Sudan
- Spain
- Sri Lanka
- Sudan
- Suriname
- Svalbard and Jan Mayen
- Sweden
- Switzerland
- Syria
- Taiwan
- Tajikistan
- Tanzania
- Thailand

- British Virgin Islands
- Brunei
- Bulgaria
- Burkina Faso
- Burundi
- Cambodia
- Cameroon
- Canada
- Cape Verde
- Cayman Islands
- Central African Republic
- Chad
- Chile
- China
- Christmas Island
- Clipperton
- Cocos (Keeling) Islands
- Colombia
- Comoros
- Congo
- Cook Islands
- Costa Rica
- Côte d'Ivoire
- Croatia
- Cuba
- Guyana
- Haiti
- Heard Island and McDonald Islands
- Honduras
- Hong Kong
- Hungary
- Iceland
- India
- Indonesia
- Iran
- Iraq
- Ireland
- Isle of Man
- Israel
- Italy
- Jamaica
- Japan
- Jersey
- Jordan
- Kazakhstan
- Kenya
- Kiribati
- Kosovo
- Kuwait
- Kyrgyzstan
- Niger
- Nigeria
- Niue
- Norfolk Island
- Northern Mariana Islands
- North Korea
- North Macedonia
- Norway
- Oman
- Pakistan
- Palau
- Palestine
- Panama
- Papua New Guinea
- Paraguay
- Peru
- Philippines
- Pitcairn Islands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Puerto Rico
- Qatar
- Réunion
- Romania
- Russia
- The Gambia
- Timor-Leste
- Togo
- Tokelau
- Tonga
- Trinidad and Tobago
- Tunisia
- Turkey
- Turkmenistan
- Turks and Caicos Islands
- Tuvalu
- Uganda
- Ukraine
- United Arab Emirates
- United Kingdom
- United States
- United States Minor Outlying Islands
- Uruguay
- US Virgin Islands
- Uzbekistan
- Vanuatu
- Vatican City
- Venezuela
- Vietnam
- Wallis and Futuna

- Curaçao
- Cyprus
- Czechia
- Democratic Republic of the Congo
- Denmark
- Laos
- Latvia
- Lebanon
- Lesotho
- Liberia
- Rwanda
- Saint Barthélemy
- Saint Helena
- Ascension and Tristan da Cunha
- Saint Kitts and Nevis
- Saint Lucia
- Western Sahara
- Yemen
- Zambia
- Zimbabwe

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. **For the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association', 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published.** Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

### \* Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

#### **Anonymous**

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

#### **Public**

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the [personal data protection provisions](#)

Looking back

---

As mentioned in the [Inception Impact assessment](#), the revision aims to tackle the following problems:

- Unmet medical needs and market failures for medicines other than medicines for rare diseases and children;
- Unequal access to available and affordable medicines for patients across the EU;
- The current legislative framework may not be fully equipped to respond quickly to innovation;
- Inefficiency and administrative burden of regulatory procedures;
- Vulnerability of supply of medicines, shortages of medicines;
- Environmental challenges and sustainability;
- Any other issues, which might emerge from the evaluation.

**Q1 In your opinion, are there any other issues that should be addressed in this revision?**

*800 character(s) maximum*

Despite many critics expressed about problems linked to accelerated and low standards for marketing authorisation, uncertainties on the clinical benefit of new drugs and failures to respect post-marketing requirements, the consultation does not address these weaknesses. High standards for robust clinical evidence are needed for regulatory and clinical decisions. Health professionals and patients need to know whether a new drug is or is not an improvement on existing treatments, in terms of benefits and harms. Appropriate well-designed comparative trials against the best standard therapies should be required. The revision should also focus on the management and improvement of safety, including safety for medicines already on the market, on the packaging and on transparency rules.

**Q2 How has the legislation performed in terms of the following elements?**

|  | Very well             | Well                             | Moderately                       | Poorly                           | Very poorly                      | Don't know                       |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1. Fulfilling its public health protection mission for patients and society.                         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            |
| 2. Promoting the development of new medicines, especially for unmet medical needs.                   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            |
| 3. Enabling timely development of medicines at all times, including during crises.                   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            |
| 4. Enabling timely authorisation, including scientific evaluation, of medicines in normal times.     | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |
| 5. Enabling timely authorisation, including scientific evaluation during crises.                     | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            |
| 6. Adapting efficiently and effectively to technological and scientific advancements and innovation. | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |

|  |                       |                       |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 7. Ensuring medicines are of high quality, safe and effective.   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |
| 8. Addressing the competitive functioning of the market to support affordability.  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |
| 9. Ensuring the availability of generic <sup>3</sup> and biosimilar <sup>4</sup> medicines.<br><br><i>[3] "Generic" is a copy of a medicine based on simple or chemical molecules.</i><br><i>[4] "Biosimilar" is a copy of a medicine based on biological molecules.</i> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            |
| 10. Ensuring that new medicines are timely available to patients in all EU countries.  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |
| 11. Ensuring that medicines stay on the market at all times and that there are no shortages.   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |
| 12. Ensuring that authorised medicines are manufactured, used and disposed of in an environmentally friendly manner.   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| 13. Ensuring that the EU system for development, authorisation and monitoring of medicines, including its rules and procedures, is understandable and easy to navigate.  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            |
| 14. Attracting global investment for medicine innovation in the EU.  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |

Is there any other aspect you would like to mention, including positive or unintended effects of the legislation, or would you like to justify your replies?

*800 character(s) maximum*

(2) the system promotes the development of any new medicine and not particular those for unmet medical needs as for new products there is no requirement of evidence generation on the added therapeutic value (7): Among the 109 new products and indications assessed by Prescrire in 2020, 9,2 % were rated "not acceptable" (product without demonstrated benefit but with potential or real disadvantages) and 15,6 % were rated "judgement reserved (the editors postpone their rating until better data and more rigorous evaluation of the drug are available) (cf. revue Prescrire N° 448, p. 142-143)

## Looking forward

---

This section reflects on possible solutions to address the problems identified in the inception impact assessment mentioned in the previous section.

Your contribution will help us in defining the way forward.

## UNMET MEDICAL NEEDS

One of the aims of the strategy is to stimulate innovation and breakthrough therapies, especially in areas of ‘unmet medical need’.

Regulators, health technology assessment experts and representatives of bodies responsible for reimbursing or paying for medicines (‘payers’) are discussing a definition or a set of principles for ‘unmet medical needs’<sup>5</sup> in order to achieve the objectives of the general pharmaceutical legislation. The discussions reveal different perceptions of what is an ‘unmet medical need’. Convergence on this key concept should facilitate the design of clinical trials, generation of evidence and its assessment, and the quick availability on the market of these products and ensuring that innovation matches the needs of patients and of the national health systems.

The purpose of this question is to identify elements that are important in defining what is unmet medical need and in which areas of unmet medical need innovation should be stimulated.

*[5] Please note that a similar discussion is taking place in the context of medicines for rare diseases and for children. The concept of ‘unmet needs’ in the context of rare diseases and children might be slightly differentiated compared to ‘unmet needs’ in the context of the general pharmaceutical legislation.*

### Q3 How important are the following elements for defining ‘unmet medical needs’?

|   | Very important                   | Important                        | Fairly important      | Slightly important    | Not important         | Don't know            |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Seriousness of a disease.  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 2. Absence of satisfactory treatment authorised in the EU.                    | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 3. A new medicine has major therapeutic advantage over existing treatment(s). | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 4. Lack of access for patients across the EU to an authorised treatment.      | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 5. Other (please specify).  | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Is there any other aspect you would like to mention, for example on the potential economic, social, environmental or other impacts of the outlined elements, or would you like to justify your replies?

*800 character(s) maximum*

The most important elements to be considered are the high disease severity and the absence of satisfactory treatment.

It is important to be very clear on the objectives of the definition of the “unmet medical need”: provision of access to incentives; accelerated assessment procedures, ...?

We want to remind the recent controversial authorisation of aducanumab by the FDA. It should be clear that even in case of unmet medical need, authorisations of medicinal products should rely on robust evidence.

Mechanisms providing faster patient access to new medicines are already in place in the EU: the accelerated assessment procedure, approval under exceptional circumstances, the conditional marketing authorization and the PRIME scheme.

## **INCENTIVES FOR INNOVATION**

The general pharmaceutical legislation guarantees the pharmaceutical innovator, typically a company, regulatory data and market protection for its new medicinal product. This data protection makes sure that another pharmaceutical company cannot re-use the proprietary data of the innovator for 8 years. Market protection makes sure that a generic or biosimilar medicine cannot be marketed until 10 years after authorisation. This dual protection shields a pharmaceutical innovator from generics or biosimilars on the market for 10 years. This protection is part of the EU system of incentives for innovation. The EU regime of [intellectual property protection](#) provides an additional protection coverage but is beyond the scope of this questionnaire and the revision of the general pharmaceutical legislation.

#### Q4 What do you think of the following measures to support innovation, including for ‘unmet medical needs’?

|   | Very important                   | Important                        | Fairly important      | Slightly important    | Not important                    | Don't know            |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. The current data and market protection periods for innovative medicines: 10 years of market protection, and 8 years of data protection.  | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 2. Provide different data and market protection periods depending on the purpose of the medicine (i.e. longer period of protection in areas of unmet medical need).   | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 3. Reduce the data and market protection periods to allow earlier access for generic and biosimilar medicines to the market.  | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 4. Introduce new types of incentives <sup>6</sup> on top of the existing data and market protection for medicines addressing an ‘unmet medical need’.<br><br><i>[6] Examples of new incentives are a transferable exclusivity voucher or a priority review voucher. A transferable exclusivity voucher would give the legal right to extend the protection time period of any other patented medicinal product, in exchange for the successful regulatory approval of a specified medicine for unmet medical need (e.g. an antibiotic). The voucher would be transferable or saleable, and may impact the turnover and profitability levels of other products in a developer's portfolio. A priority review voucher gives priority to the assessment of the application of the medicine in question or another medicine in the applicant's portfolio.</i> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 5. Early scientific support and faster review/authorisation of a new promising medicine for an unmet medical need.  | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 6. Public listing of priority therapeutic areas of high unmet medical need to support product development by providing incentives.  | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 7. Require transparent reporting from companies about their research and development costs and public funding as a condition to obtain certain incentives.  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 8. Other (please specify)   | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |

Is there any other aspect you would like to mention, for example on the potential economic, social, environmental or other impacts of the outlined measures, or would you like to justify/elaborate your replies?

*800 character(s) maximum*

There is no solid evidence for the need of additional incentives. They should rather be fine-tuned to incentivise R&D on real public health needs, with strings attached for the realisation of robust RCTs and transparency on R&D investments (7).  
A waiver is needed on data/marketing exclusivity protections in cases of public health need, and for compulsory or government use licenses.  
What is really important for quality of care is therapeutic advance or value added, not the vague “innovation”.  
You should have asked questions about therapeutic advance.  
(4) We don't support proposal 4 as it supports above all a commercial profit-driven market approach, allowing companies to play the system with a focus on most profitable and highprice products and further increase the affordability problem

## **ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE<sup>7</sup>**

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is the ability of microorganisms (such as bacteria, viruses, fungi or parasites) to survive and grow over time and no longer respond to medicines making infections harder to treat and increasing the risk of infections, severe illness and death. Antimicrobials include antibiotics, which are substances that fight bacterial infections. Overprescribing, overuse and inappropriate use of antibiotics are key drivers of AMR, leading to harmful health outcomes. The question below is intended to collect opinions on both the incentives for the development of new antimicrobials as well as possible options on their prudent use.

*[7] [amr\\_2017\\_action-plan.pdf \(europa.eu\)](#).*

## **Q5 Should there be specific regulatory incentives for the development of new antimicrobials while taking into account the need for more prudent use and if so what should they be?**

*1000 character(s) maximum*

We are not convinced that the creation of specific incentives would improve the current situation. Market failures for the development of most urgent needed new antimicrobials show that the current pharmaceutical business model does not provide solutions for all public health needs. Policy makers should take this into account and explore other business models and solutions to support R&D projects related to public health needs, such as collaboration with European academia centres, independent clinical research and the not-for-profit sector (e.g. DNDi).  
This issue is a 20 years' old one and all initiatives have failed just because companies are not interested.

## **FUTURE PROOFING: ADAPTED, AGILE AND PREDICTABLE REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR NOVEL PRODUCTS**

Novel products and innovative solutions continue to challenge the understanding of a “medicinal product” with low volume, and cutting-edge products (e.g. medicines combined with self-learning artificial intelligence) becoming a new reality. ‘Bedside’ manufacture of more individualised medicines changes the way medicines are produced. There are classification and interplay challenges with other medical products, such as medical devices and substances of human origin, or related to the combination of clinical trials with in vitro diagnostics/medical devices and medicines. In addition, certain cell-based advanced therapy medicines<sup>8</sup> are offered in hospital settings and are exempted from aspects of the pharmaceutical legislation. These developments offer possibilities for novel promising treatments and new ways of authorising and monitoring medicines but they are also testing the limits of the current regulatory system. They need to be addressed to unfold their potential while safeguarding the principles of high quality, safety and efficacy of medicines.

Digital transformation is affecting the discovery, development, manufacture, evidence generation, assessment, supply and use of medicines. Medicines, medical technologies and digital health are becoming increasingly integral to overarching therapeutic options. These include systems based on artificial intelligence for prevention, diagnosis, better treatment, therapeutic monitoring and data for personalised medicines and other healthcare applications.

*[8] Advanced therapy medicinal products (ATMPs) are medicines for human use that are based on genes, tissues or cells. They offer ground-breaking new opportunities for the treatment of disease and injury.*

**Q6 How would you assess the following measures to create an adapted, agile and predictable regulatory framework for novel products?**

|   | Very important        | Important             | Fairly important                 | Slightly important    | Not important                    | Don't know            |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Maintain the current rules.  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 2. Create a central mechanism in close coordination with other concerned authorities (e.g. those responsible for medical devices, substances of human origins) to provide non-binding scientific advice on whether a treatment/product should be classified as a medicine or not.   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 3. Make use of the possibility for 'regulatory sandboxes' <sup>9</sup> in legislation to pilot certain categories of novel products/technologies.<br><br><i>[9] Some very innovative solutions fail to see the light of day because of regulations which might be outdated or poorly adapted for fast evolving technologies. One way to address this is through regulatory sandboxes. This enables innovative solutions not already foreseen in regulations or guidelines to be live-tested with supervisors and regulators, provided that the appropriate conditions are in place, for example to ensure equal treatment. Regulatory sandboxes provide up-to-date information to regulators and supervisors on, and experience with, new technology, while enabling policy experimentation. See COM(2020) 103 final.</i> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 4. Create adaptive regulatory frameworks (e.g. adapted requirements for authorisation and monitoring with possibility to adjust easily to scientific progress) for certain novel types of medicines or low volume products (hospital preparations) in coherence with other legal frameworks (e.g. medical devices and substances of human origin <sup>10</sup> ) and respecting the principles of quality, safety and efficacy.<br><br><i>[10] Substances that are donated by humans such as blood, plasma, cells, gametes, tissues and organs and are applied as therapy. Some substances of human origin can also become starting materials to manufacture medicines.</i>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

|   |                       |                       |                       |                       |                                  |                       |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 5. Introduce an EU-wide centrally coordinated process for early dialogue and more coordination among clinical trial, marketing authorisation, health technology assessment bodies, pricing and reimbursement authorities and payers for integrated medicines development and post-authorisation monitoring. | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 6. Other (please specify)   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |

Is there any other aspect you would like to mention, for example on the potential economic, social, environmental or other impacts of the outlined measures, or would you like to justify/elaborate your replies?

*800 character(s) maximum*

- (2) a clear-cut classification system aiming to protect patients is important: the central mechanism should issue a binding conclusion, not a non-binding scientific advice.
- (3) it is not serious to come up with new concepts like “regulatory sandboxes” without providing data and evidence on their utility. In the interest of the patient protection, even fast evolving technologies need to undergo serious, well designed clinical testing. Experience with medical devices shows the harm for patients of lack of serious clinical testing and evaluation
- (4) exist already

**Q7. Do you think that certain definitions and the scope of the legislation need to be updated to reflect scientific and technological developments in the sector (e.g. personalised medicines, bedside manufacturing, artificial intelligence) and if so what would you propose to change?**

*1000 character(s) maximum*

With the increase of digital health services and products, including artificial intelligence (AI) clarity is needed on the legislation applied to these products to guarantee their safety, effectiveness as well as the patients and user’s privacy and rights. In April 2021, a European legal framework on AI was proposed relying on a risk-based approach. According to our understanding medical devices would fall under the scope of this Regulation. But what will happen to digital health technologies not considered as medical device or considered to be of low risk?

There is a need of consistency between the different initiatives on pending EU legislations regarding digital technologies and artificial intelligence – as they are closely intertwined in practice.

As a general rule, a new methodology and /or concept must first be evaluated by comparison with reference methodologies, in order to assess its advantages and disadvantages.

**REWARDS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO IMPROVED ACCESS TO MEDICINES**

Some medicines and therapies do not always reach patients in all EU countries, so patients in the EU still have different levels of access to medicines, depending on where they live. Even if a medicine received an EU-wide authorisation, companies are currently not obliged to market it in all EU countries. A company may decide not to market its medicines in, or decide to withdraw them from, one or more countries. This can be due to various factors, such as national pricing and reimbursement policies, size of the population and level of wealth, the organisation of health systems and national administrative procedures. Smaller markets in particular face challenges for availability and supplies of medicines.

**Q8 How would you assess the following measures to improve patient access to medicines across the EU?**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

|   | Very important        | Important                        | Fairly important      | Slightly important    | Not important                    | Don't know            |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Maintain the current rules which provide no obligation to market medicines in all EU countries.  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 2. Require companies to notify their market launch intentions to regulators at the time of the authorisation of the medicine.   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 3. Introduce incentives for swift market launch across the EU.  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 4. Allow early introduction of generics in case of delayed market launch of medicines across the EU, while respecting intellectual property rights.                   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 5. Require companies to place – within a certain period after authorisation – a medicine on the market of the majority of Member States, that includes small markets. | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 6. Require companies withdrawing a medicine from the market to offer another company to take over the medicine.   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 7. Introduce rules on electronic product information to replace the paper package leaflet.  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 8. Introduce harmonised rules for multi-country packages of medicines.  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 9. Other (please specify).  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |

Is there any other aspect you would like to mention, for example on the potential economic, social, environmental or other impacts of the outlined measures, or would you like to justify/elaborate your replies?

800 character(s) maximum

- (3) we do not support new kinds of incentives
- (4) we do not understand how this suggestion could be implemented in practice: how enable early introduction of generics, while respecting IPR
- (7) no problem to introduce electronic product information AS A COMPLEMENT TO PAPER LEAFLETS, NOT TO REPLACE them
- (8) above all, a robust evaluation of medicines packaging safety is needed

**ENHANCE THE COMPETITIVE FUNCTIONING OF THE MARKET TO ENSURE AFFORDABLE MEDICINES**

The affordability of medicines has implications for both public and household finances. It poses a growing challenge to pay for medicines in the majority of Member States. Often, innovative medicines have higher prices, while there are growing concerns among stakeholders about the real-life effectiveness of some medicines and related overall costs. This puts the budgetary sustainability of health systems at risk, and reduces the possibilities for patients to have access to these medicines. Generics and biosimilars<sup>11</sup> of medicines which no longer benefit from intellectual property protection (off-patent medicines) may provide accessible and affordable treatments. They also increase the availability of alternative treatment options for patients. They may also increase competition between available medicines. However, experience shows that there are still barriers for medicines entering the EU market, including for generics or biosimilars.

[11] "Generics" are copies of medicines based on simple or chemical molecules; "biosimilars" are copies of medicines based on biological molecules.

**Q9 In your view, to what extent would the following measures support access to affordable medicines?**

|   | To a great extent     | To a certain extent              | No change                        | Very little           | Not at all            | Don't know            |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Maintain the current rules.  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 2. Stimulate earlier market entry through a broader possibility to authorise generics /biosimilars despite ongoing patent protection ('Bolar exemption') <sup>12</sup> .                            | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <p>[12] The Bolar exemption allows companies to conduct research on patent protected medicines under the condition that it is with a view to apply for a marketing authorisation for a generic.</p> |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                       |                       |
|   |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                       |                       |

|  |                       |                                  |                       |                       |                       |                       |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 3. Create a specific (regulatory) incentive for a limited number of biosimilars that come to the market first.   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 4. Introduce an EU-wide scientific recommendation on interchangeability for specific biosimilars.  | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 5. Introduce other, non-legislative measures, such as joint procurement to reinforce competition while addressing security of supply and environmental challenges. | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 6. Other (please specify).   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Is there any other aspect you would like to mention, for example on the potential economic, social, environmental or other impacts of the outlined measures, or would you like to justify/elaborate your replies?

*800 character(s) maximum*

To reduce the waste of resources, to remove outdated medicines and those with no therapeutic value from the market.  
 Remove unnecessary barriers to competition and address abuses of the system and unfair practices, e.g. excessive pricing of critical off-patent medicines.  
 For orphan drugs, remove the prevalence route and replace it by the return on investment (ROI) route for all applications for orphan designation  
 The provision of public money for the R&D and production of medicines should include conditions on transparency and affordable prices.  
 Transparency on real prices, R&D costs, public funding, clinical benefit.

## REPURPOSING OF MEDICINES

Repurposing is the process of identifying a new use for an established medicine in a disease or condition other than that it is currently authorised for. Repurposing of older (off-patent) medicines constitutes an emerging and dynamic field of medicines development, often led by academic units and medical research charities, with the potential for faster development times and reduced costs as well as lower risks for companies. This is because repurposing commonly starts with substances that have already been tested and many have demonstrated an acceptable level of safety and tolerability. The objective is to identify the opportunities and address any regulatory burdens to facilitate repurposing of off-patent, affordable medicines.

**Q10 What measures could stimulate the repurposing of off-patent medicines and provide additional uses of the medicine against new diseases and medical conditions? Please justify your answers.**

*1000 character(s) maximum*

## **SECURITY OF SUPPLY OF MEDICINES**

Shortages of medicines and the vulnerabilities in the pharmaceutical supply chain continue to be concerns in the EU. Shortages of medicines can have serious impacts on patient care. Under the current pharmaceutical legislation, pharmaceutical companies and wholesalers must, within the limits of their responsibilities, ensure a continued supply of medicines once they are placed on the market in the EU. Companies must also notify national authorities at least two months before an expected shortage or planned market withdrawal.

**Q11 What is your view on the following measures to ensure security of supply of medicines in the EU?**

|   | Very important                   | Important             | Fairly important      | Slightly important    | Not important                    | Don't know            |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Maintain the current rules.  | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 2. Earlier reporting of shortages and market withdrawals to national authorities in a common format.                          | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 3. Companies to have shortage prevention plans.   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 4. Companies to have safety stocks.   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 5. Monitoring of supply and demand at national level.   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 6. Introduce a shortage monitoring system at EU level.  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 7. Require companies to diversify their supply chains, in particular the number of key suppliers of medicines and components. | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 8. Companies to provide more information to regulators on their supply chain.   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 9. Introduce penalties for non-compliance by companies with proposed new obligations.   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 10. EU coordination to help identify areas where consolidation in the supply chain has reduced the number of suppliers.       | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |
| 11. Other (please specify)  | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> |

Is there any other aspect you would like to mention, for example on the potential economic, social, environmental or other impacts of the outlined measures, or would you like to justify/elaborate your replies?

800 character(s) maximum

In addition to the need for diversification of and securing the supply chains, the Commission should recall and clarify the legal obligations of marketing authorisation holders in respect to a timely delivery of critical medicines orders (Directive 2001/83/EC, article 81). These rules should be reinforced. In case of non-respect of the obligations, appropriate sanctions should be applied.

The Commission should come up with legislative proposals touching upon the supply of medicines (minimum stock levels, potential alternative production sites, transparency on the supply chain) and the prevention and management of shortages, putting the interest and safety of patients at the centre of policy action.

### QUALITY AND MANUFACTURING

Medicines manufactured for the EU market must comply with the principles and guidelines of good manufacturing practice (GMP). GMP describes the minimum standard that a medicines manufacturer must meet in their production processes. GMP requires that medicines are of consistent high quality, are appropriate for their intended use and meet the requirements of the marketing authorisation or clinical trial authorisation.

### Q12 What is your opinion of the following measures to ensure manufacturing and distribution of high quality products?

|  | Very adequate         | Adequate                         | Neutral               | Less adequate         | Not adequate          | Don't know                       |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1. Maintain the current rules.   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |
| 2. Strengthen manufacturing and oversight rules.   | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |
| 3. Adapt manufacturing rules to reflect new manufacturing methods.   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| 4. Include selected environmental requirements for manufacturing of medicines in line with the one health approach on antimicrobial resistance <sup>13</sup> . | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |
| <i>[13] The one-health approach is a holistic and multi-sectorial approach to addressing antimicrobial resistance since antimicrobials used</i>                | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |

|   |                                  |                                  |                       |                       |                       |                       |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <i>to treat infectious diseases in animals may be the same or be similar to those used in humans.</i>   |                                  |                                  |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| 5. Increase Member State cooperation and surveillance of the supply chain in the EU and third countries.  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 6. Strengthen and clarify responsibilities of business operators over the entire supply chain on sharing information on quality, safety and efficacy. | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 7. Other (please specify).  | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Is there any other aspect you would like to mention, for example on the potential economic, social, environmental or other impacts of the outlined measures, or would you like to justify/elaborate your replies?

*800 character(s) maximum*

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHALLENGES

While access to pharmaceuticals is a priority, it is also important that the environmental impacts of those pharmaceuticals are as low as possible. The environmental risk assessments (ERAs) is currently not taken into account in the overall benefit/risk analysis which influences the delivery of a marketing authorisation (MA) of a medicine. ERA can influence risk management measures. Yet, ERA results are not decisive in the MA process.

**Q13 How would you assess the following measures to ensure that the environmental challenges emerging from human medicines are addressed?**

|  | Very important        | Important                        | Fairly important                 | Slightly important    | Not important         | Don't know            |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Maintain the current rules.   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 2. Strengthen the environmental risk assessment during authorisation of a medicine, including risk mitigation measures, where appropriate. | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 3. Harmonize environmental risk assessment by national regulators, including risk mitigation measures.                                     | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 4. Increase information to the health care professionals and the general public about the assessment of environmental risks of medicines.  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 5. Allow companies to use existing data about environmental risks for authorisations of a new medicine to avoid duplicating tests.         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 6. Other (please specify).   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Is there any other aspect you would like to mention, for example on the potential economic, social, environmental or other impacts of the outlined measures, or would you like to justify/elaborate your replies?

*800 character(s) maximum*

**Q14 Is there anything else you would like to add that has not been covered in this consultation?**

*900 character(s) maximum*

It is always difficult to answer questions by ticking a box. This does not allow for nuanced responses and the "free response" parts only allow a short argumentation.

It is disappointing that the European Commission didn't made available an independent assessment of the functioning of the general pharmaceutical legislation before the launch of this consultation.

**Q15 In case you would like to share a document that substantiates your replies, please upload it below (optional).**

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

**655235bc-cddd-4502-b461-90bdfb068b30**

**/Prescrire\_s\_response\_public\_consultation\_roadmap\_revision\_pharma\_legislation.pdf**

**Contact**

EU-PHARMACEUTICAL-STRATEGY@EC.EUROPA.EU