include potentially increasing the proportion of variations subject to less stringent requirements, and thus less oversight (2).

In Prescrire’s opinion, it would appear logical and inevitable for the workload associated with post-authorisation management of drugs to increase year on year, because new drugs are authorised every year, while existing drugs are rarely withdrawn from the market.

In order to ensure an acceptable level of patient protection, Prescrire called on the Commission to allocate sufficient resources (both human and financial) to the European Medicines Agency (EMA) to enable it to fulfil its obligations regarding the oversight of an ever-increasing number of authorised drugs.

In Prescrire’s view, there is nothing inherently wrong with simplifying and rationalising administrative tasks, provided that this does not have a negative impact on the surveillance of drug efficacy and patient safety. Prescrire called on the Commission to set stricter standards for the clinical evaluation of drugs prior to authorisation (in order to reduce the number of drugs to be monitored that are not useful to patients), and to strengthen the requirements for post-authorisation evaluation.

Prescrire also urged the EMA to reduce its workload by reviewing the need to keep drugs on the market that have no real clinical utility or that are more dangerous than beneficial.

Finally, Prescrire also stressed the urgent need for more transparency about variations concerning efficacy and adverse effects, and to make more post-authorisation evaluation documents systematically available to the public (1).

Prescrire also called for more stringent requirements for post-authorisation evaluation.

Prescrire felt it was regrettable that the EMA’s preparatory document: – Does not clearly spell out what these trials can do (generate hypotheses) and what they cannot do (demonstrate a causal relationship between the treatment and the outcomes observed); – And does not define, from the outset, the handful of exceptional situations in which the use of a non-comparative trial might be considered an acceptable basis for marketing authorisation.

Drawing on concrete examples, Prescrire expressed its concern about the fact that, despite the known weaknesses of non-comparative trials, the EMA is increasingly accepting them as the sole basis for marketing authorisations (2).

Drug shortages: Prescrire calls for transparency

In October 2023, ahead of the publication of a Communication from the European Commission on addressing medicine shortages in the European Union (EU), a joint letter was sent to the Commission by Prescrire, the European Public Health Alliance (EPHA) and the patient rights umbrella organisation France Assos Santé (1,2).

The Commission is in favour of introducing a “Voluntary Solidarity Mechanism” across EU member states to address drug shortages. The signatories of the letter emphasised that transparency about drug stocks will be needed if such a mechanism is to work. They urged the Commission to call for the introduction of requirements for manufacturers and wholesalers that provide information on stock levels to the EU’s national drug regulatory agencies.