Sciatica and epidural corticosteroid injections

According to trials conducted in hundreds of patients with sciatica, epidural corticosteroid injections have no demonstrated efficacy beyond the placebo effect, either in the short term or the long term. However, they expose patients to a risk of sometimes serious neurological adverse effects.

Low back pain with sciatic pain radiating down one leg (sciatica) can persist for several months (1). Epidural corticosteroid injections are sometimes proposed when oral analgesics fail to provide sufficient relief (a). Local injection of a corticosteroid has no demonstrated efficacy against low back pain without sciatic pain (2,3).

What is the analgesic efficacy of epidural corticosteroid injections in patients with sciatic pain, and what are their adverse effects?

A systematic review with meta-analysis searched for randomised trials that compared epidural corticosteroid injection versus placebo injection in patients with sciatica (4).

Minimal relief at 3 months. The authors of the review identified 23 eligible randomised trials (b)(4). The corticosteroid drugs used were methylprednisolone, prednisone, triamcinolone and betamethasone (4). In some trials, a short-acting local anaesthetic was given with both the corticosteroid and placebo (4). Sciatic pain intensity and the degree of disability were evaluated on a scale ranging from 0 to 100; a difference of 10 points or more was considered clinically significant (4). Short-term efficacy in reducing sciatic pain was evaluated in 14 trials of acceptable methodological quality, including a total of 1316 patients (4).

Two weeks to three months after an epidural corticosteroid injection, sciatic pain had improved by 6 points on average compared with placebo (95% confidence interval (95CI): −9.4 to −3.0) (4). In the 10 trials that evaluated disability in a total of 1154 patients, a mean improvement of 3 points was reported with corticosteroid injections compared with placebo (95CI: −5.0 to −1.2) (4). These differences are too small to be clinically significant (4).

Six trials in 723 patients evaluated low back pain, but found no improvement with corticosteroid injections (4).

No demonstrated long-term efficacy. Seven trials including 714 patients reported the results observed one year or more after the injection (4). No difference in sciatic pain, low back pain or disability was demonstrated between the corticosteroid and placebo groups.

Sometimes serious neurological events with paralysis. Epidural injection can provoke sometimes serious adverse effects. It is a delicate procedure that requires rigorous aseptic technique (5).

The authors of the systematic review did not report the adverse events observed during the trials (4).

Headache, nausea and dizziness attributed to accidental puncture of the dura mater occur after 2% to 5% of epidural injections (6). Inadvertent intrathecal injection of the drug can provoke neurotoxicity, sometimes due to its excipients or preservatives (5,6).

Infections are rare but serious: epidural abscess leading to partial paralysis of the lower limbs; infectious meningitis (6,7). Fungal infections have been reported following injection of contaminated batches of corticosteroids (8,9).

Spinal haematoma is another risk, provoking neurological disorders and sometimes permanent paralysis (c)(5, 10, 11). Clotting disorders and anticoagulant therapy increase this risk (5). According to the French Health Products Agency, epidural injections should be avoided in patients with clotting disorders or those taking anticoagulants or antiplatelet drugs (10).

Beware the systemic effects of corticosteroids. Repeated epidural corticosteroid injections can provoke the same adverse effects as repeated systemic administration. Hyperglycaemia, worsening of diabetes, sodium and fluid retention, osteoporosis and adrenal insufficiency have been observed in this situation (6).

In practice: an unfavourable harm-benefit balance. In patients with sciatica, epidural corticosteroid injections have no demonstrated efficacy beyond the placebo effect in either the short term or the long term. These results should be weighed against the severity of some of the complications associated with this procedure. This evaluation does not rule out possible improvement in some patients, but the harm-benefit balance is usually unfavourable and patients should be informed of the potential harms.