

6- US FDA - CDER "Clinical review. Supplemental NDA. Application number 202834/S-005" 15 May 2015: 32 pages.

7- US FDA - CDER "Clinical review. Supplemental NDA. Efficacy supplement, S-005 Seq 0089 to NDA 202-834" 11 May 2015: 66 pages.

8- French JA et al. "Perampanel for tonic-clonic seizures in idiopathic generalized epilepsy: a randomized trial" *Neurology* 2015; **85** (11): 950-957.

idebenone (RAXONE[®]) and Leber hereditary optic neuropathy

Unacceptable risks, in the absence of proven efficacy



● Leber hereditary optic neuropathy leads to marked and rapid vision loss. Vision usually remains profoundly altered, even though some cases resolve spontaneously. The initial manifestations usually appear in early adulthood. In mid-2016, there is no curative treatment.

● *Idebenone* (Raxone[®], Santhera Pharmaceuticals), an antioxidant, was granted EU marketing authorisation for this indication in 2015, two years after an initial rejection by the European Medicines Agency.

● Clinical evaluation of *idebenone* is based on a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in 82 patients. *Idebenone* was no more effective than placebo in terms of visual acuity. The company then presented additional data that were no more convincing.

● *Idebenone* can cause gastrointestinal disorders, nasopharyngitis and dizziness, and its role has been discussed in two cases of severe liver injury.

● *Idebenone* may provoke spontaneous abortion.

NOT ACCEPTABLE

In patients with Leber hereditary optic neuropathy, the only available clinical trial of idebenone showed no efficacy in terms of visual acuity beyond the placebo effect. Leber hereditary optic neuropathy is a serious disease with no effective treatment in 2016, but this is no reason to expose patients to the potentially severe adverse effects of idebenone.

©Prescrire

► Translated from *Rev Prescrire* September 2016
Volume 36 N° 395 • Pages 651-652

Full review available in French on request *Rev Prescrire* September 2016; 36 (397): 651-652

Fact sheet

RAXONE[®] - idebenone tablets

● 150 mg of *idebenone* per tablet

■ **antioxidant; synthetic derivative of coenzyme Q10**

■ **Indication:** "treatment of visual impairment in adolescent and adult patients with Leber's Hereditary Optic Neuropathy" [EU centralised authorisation; orphan drug status]

Regulators' failings

For the past several decades, the randomised double-blind trial design has been universally accepted as the best way of obtaining reliable data on the clinical effects of a treatment. The marketing application that drug companies submit to regulatory agencies often contains data from this type of trial. Unfortunately, the results are often undermined by bias or difficult to extrapolate to many of the patients who might otherwise qualify for the treatment in question (for example, see the *sacubitril + valsartan* combination (see p. 33). Worse yet, regulatory agencies are sometimes satisfied with data that do not come from true comparative trials, as is the case with *sonidegib* (see issue 178 p. 14).

However, the case of *idebenone* in Leber hereditary optic neuropathy is particularly shocking. In the comparative trial reported by the company, *idebenone* was no more effective than placebo. The European Medicines Agency therefore had valid grounds for refusing to recommend marketing authorisation. The company was undeterred, however, and resubmitted the application, adding non-comparative data which were no more convincing. The agency finally ended up issuing a positive opinion, despite the total lack of proven efficacy (beyond the placebo effect...) but with clear adverse effects!

Faced with drug companies' persistence in pursuing their commercial goals by any means, and with the inability of regulatory agencies and policy makers to hold steady under pressure, patients and healthcare professionals must be just as persistent in demanding properly evaluated medications that provide a tangible therapeutic advance for the patients concerned.

©Prescrire